

A new mineralogical database for atmospheric dust to estimate soluble iron fluxes to Surface Ocean <u>E. Journet</u><sup>1</sup>, Y. Balkanski<sup>2</sup>, K Desboeufs<sup>1</sup> and S. Harrison<sup>3</sup>

**Dominant :** 

Montmor.

•Quartz

**Dominant :** 

Chlorite

Feldspars

Quartz

Australian dust

**Corresponding author:** emilie.journet@lisa.u-pec.fr

<sup>1</sup> LISA, UPEC/UPD/CNRS, France <sup>2</sup> LSCE CEA/CNRS, France <sup>3</sup> School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, UK

# **Desert dust, ocean productivity and** atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub>



# Iron solubility driven by dust mineralogy

1- Iron solubility measured in African dust collected close to the source:



The observed variability is associated with mineralogical speciation of iron which vary according to location of the source

Examples: Mineralogical speciation of iron in two samples



**2- Iron solubility measured in individual minerals :** 

**Atmospheric iron supply** can stimulate productivity in many regions of the world ocean, but only if it exists in a readily dissolvable form. => We need to estimate **iron solubility (SFe)** for mineral dust particles.

# How inform the mineralogy of dust particles ?

Very few measures for a high variability



### Two kind of iron, two kind of solubility :

**Free iron** = "insoluble" iron oxides

**Structural iron** = "soluble" clay minerals

Soluble iron is primarily derived from illite and montmorillonite as they are the most common clay minerals found in African dust.

### **3- Iron solubility in dust vs iron mineralogy**



Knowing the mineralogical composition, iron solubility can be estimated from those measured on individual minerals :

 $SFe = 0,20\% * \% Fe_{illite} + 0,65\% * \% Fe_{mont}$ 



### West Saharian dust



illite smectite kaolinite chlorite mica vermiculite feldspar quartz calcite hematite goethite

The variability of the mineralogical composition reflects the diversity of source soils

# **Building Database of soils mineralogy**

(updated from Claquin et al., 1999)

The method is based on a quantitative description of the mineralogical composition of the erodible fraction of each soil units of the FAO classification

The method consist to document **the size-resolved mineralogy of soils** and to transport each mineral from the database, constitutive of the dust, in a General Circulation Model (GCM)

# **Examples of some mineralogical maps Clay fraction of soils** LONGITUD ILLITE\_FRACTION (%) SMECTITE FRACTION (%)



► For the clay fraction : 10 minerals Illite, montmorillonite, chlorite, vermiculite, kaolinite, calcite, quartz, feldspars, hematite and goethite

1. Soil types distribution issue from the Harmonized World Soil Database



### The selection criteria:

- ✓ Size-resolved mineralogical information
- ✓ Surface horizon
- > 594 soil descriptions in the database with more than 120 references

### 3. Database coverage

Pourcentage of soils with mineralogy



**HWSD** = Only existing database that provides a global distribution of FAO soil units

- $\checkmark$  28 soil classes
- 230 soil units to describe !  $\checkmark$

### 2. Localization of the data



Good coverage between latitudes 0 and 30°N.

To obtain a full coverage, assumptions have been issued to assign a mineralogical composition to each soil units.

► For the silt fraction :7 minerals mica, chlorite, calcite, gypsum, quartz, feldspars and hematite.



### **Outlooks:**

- > Simulation is running in order to simulate total and dissolved iron fluxes to surface ocean.
- > These fluxes will be compared with those obtained without taking into account the differences in soil mineralogy.

### **Conclusion:**

The database is global in extent : it not only cover actual erodible areas but also covers areas that are not potential sources in present climate. This open the path to study :  $\succ$  Impact of mineral dust (solar radiation, cloud nucleation, ocean productivity and health) > Past and future scenarios

# **Derived product:** Iron content in the clay fraction of soils