Air-sea CO₂ exchange coefficients deduced from satellite QSCAT wind speeds from 1999 to 2006

J. Boutin⁽¹⁾, Y. Quilfen⁽²⁾, L. Merlivat⁽¹⁾, J.F. Piolle⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Laboratoire d'Oceanographie et du Climat – Experimentation et Approches Numeriques, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Paris, France ⁽²⁾ CERSAT French ERS Processing and Archiving Facility, Ifremer, Plouzane, France



Introduction

The absolute calibration of the relationship between air-sea CO₂ tranfer velocity, k, and wind speed, U, is in debate for a long time because global average of CO₂ exchange coefficient, K, deduced from GEOSECS oceanic ¹⁴C inventory and from experimental k-U relationships disagreed. Recently, estimates of oceanic ¹⁴C inventories have been revisited towards lower values, leading to lower global k average [Naegler et al., 2006]. In addition, a new k-U relationship has been proposed based on new k measurements performed at sea in high wind speed conditions [Ho et al., 2006].

In this poster, we use recent satellite QSCAT wind speeds to derive global fields of K using past and new k-U relationships. We also discuss the accuracy of QSCAT K based on new comparisons between buoy and QSCAT wind speeds.

CO₂ exchange coefficients derived from satellite wind speeds



Figure 2: Global map of K deduced from OSCAT wind speed, Reynolds SST and Nightingale et al(2000) relationship.(scale from 0 to 0.015 $mol/m^2/yr/\mu atm$)

we report <k660> deduced from 14C inventories.

<kH> and <kN> are very similar and 2cm hr⁻¹ higher than Naegler estimate: this is within the error bar of this estimate.

Accuracy of QSCAT wind speeds

Earlier comparisons of QSCAT with in situ wind speeds [Bourassa et al., 2003; Ebuchi et al., 2002; Freilich and Vanhoff, 1999] indicate a precision of QSCAT U between 1 and 1.2m s⁻¹ in conditions without rain. These studies were mostly based on measurements taken in the equatorial region and in the northern hemisphere. Comparison with numerical weather prediction (NWP) models [Chelton and Freilich, 2005] shows no systematic bias between QSCAT and NCEP U but QSCAT U were higher than ECMWF U by 0.4m s⁻¹ on average. We provide a new set of comparison between QSCAT and in situ buoy wind speeds in the Northern Atlantic during the POMME experiment and in the Southern Ocean, in high wind speed conditions, far from coasts and in regions very rarely sampled, especially in winter.

Methodology:

During POMME experiment, a moored meteorological buoy records U at 4.5m height and 3 CARIOCA drifters record U at 2m height (09/02/01 to 31/12/01;CARIOCA equipped with cup anemometers (Debucourt type))

In the Southern Ocean, 5 CARIOCA drifters record U at 2m height with either cup anemometer, either sonic anemometer.

Neutral wind speed at 10m height (corrected for atmospheric stability; equivalent to QSCAT measurements), U10n, was deduced from these measurements using the Liu and Tang (1996) algorithm. Each in-situ wind speed is colocated with QSCAT wind speed in a radius of 12.5km and 30mn. Fits between in situ and QSCAT U are calculated as orthogonal regressions. The fit quality is quantified by the rms of QSCAT U minus the fit estimate (rms of (Y-Yfit)).



Accuracy of QSCAT wind speeds is very similar in the northern Atlantic and in the Southern Ocean.

Buoy U10n are systematically lower than QSCAT; given that fits obtained with CARIOCA-Debucourt anemometers are lower than with other anemometers, we cannot exclude a 8% underestimate of CARIOCA-Debucourt U10n. Nevertheless, it remains a 5% difference between QSCAT and buoy U10n. This difference is observed in several oceans and at various seasons, so it is unlikely that it is due to anemometer flaw. On another hand, in order to increase the conversion factor between U2m and U10m by 5%, the drag coefficient Cd should reach 2.5 10⁻³ at 15m/s, which is much larger than Cd measured during POMME experiment (1.7 10⁻³ at 15m s⁻¹).

Hence, the true wind speed is probably between QSCAT U and QSCAT U minus 5%. With QSCAT U minus 5%, <kN₆₆₀> becomes equal to 17.3cm hr⁻¹.

Summary

Global k₆₆₀ values obtained with the [Nightingale et al., 2000] and the [Ho et al., 2006] k-U relationships are very close and within the error bars of the independent k₆₆₀ average deduced from new oceanic ¹⁴C inventory by [*Naegler et al.*, 2006]. Nevertheless they remain 12% higher than this reference mean ¹⁴C value.

New QSCAT-buoy wind speed comparisons in high wind speed conditions confirm the excellent precision of QSCAT wind speeds (rms difference of 1m s⁻¹). However, they leave a possible overestimate of QSCAT wind speeds by 5%.

If QSCAT U10n are lowered by 5%, the mean global k₆₆₀ derived using the [Nightingale et al., 2000] relationship differs from [Naegler et al., 2006] mean ¹⁴C value by only 3%. The mean global k₆₆₀ value derived using the [Ho et al., 2006] is not modified as this relationship was calibrated using QSCAT wind speed.

This study points out the importance of acquiring very precise wind speed and sea state measurements during sea experiments for better quantification of k-U relationships.

References

- Bourassa, M.A., D.M. Legler, J.J. O'Brien, and S.R. Smith, SeaWinds validation with research vessels, Journal of Geophysical research, 108, C2, 3019, doi:10.1029/2001JC001028, 2003.
- Broecker, W.S., T.H. Peng, G. Ostlung, and M. Stuiver, The distribution of bomb radiocarbon in the ocean, *JGR*, *90*, 6953-6970, 1985. Chelton, D.B., and M.H. Freilich, Scatterometer-Based Assessment of 10-m Wind Analyses from the Operational

ECMWF and NCEP Numerical Weather Prediction Models, Monthly Weather Review, 133, 409-429, 2005.

Acknowledgements: We thank N. Martin for computation support.

Ebuchi, N., H.C. Graber, and M.J. Caruso, Evaluation of wind vectors observed by QuikSCAT/SeaWinds using ocean buoy data, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 19, 2049-2062, 2002

Freilich, M.H., and B.A. Vanhoff, Initial Accuracy assessment of QuikSCAT/SeaWinds vector wind products, in QUIKSCAT CAL/VAL meeting, Arcadia, USA, 1999.

Ho, D.T., C.S. Law, M.J. Smith, P. Schlosser, M. Harvey, and P. Hill, Measurements of air-sea gas exchange at high wind speeds in the Southern Ocean: Implications for global parameterizations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L16611, doi:10.1029/2006GL026817, 2006.

Liss, P.S., and L. Merlivat, Air-sea gas exchange rates: Introduction and synthesis, in The Role of Air-Sea Exchange in Geochemical Cycling, edited by P. Buat-Ménart, pp. 113-127, D. Reidel, Norwell, Mass., 1986.

Naegler, T., P. Ciais, K.B. Rodgers, and I. Levin, Excess radiocarbon constraints on air-sea gas exchange and the uptake of CO2 by the oceans, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L11802, doi:10.1029/2005GL025408., 2006.

Nightingale, P.D., G. Malin, C.S. Law, A.J. Watson, P.S. Liss, M.I. Liddicoat, J. Boutin, and R.C. Upstill-Goddard, In-situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterisations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 14, 373-387, 2000.

Wanninkhof, R., Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, JGR, 97, 7373-7382, 1992.